



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/665,976 | 09/19/2003 | Peter J. Barry | 10559-849001 /INTEL P1687 | 5368 |
| 20985 | 7590 | 06/09/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| FISH & RICHARDSON, PC P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | WALTER, CRAIG E | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2188 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/665,976 | BARRY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Craig E. Walter | Art Unit 2188 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Craig E. Walter. (3) David Feigenbaum.
 (2) Whitney Fellberg. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 June 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: proposed amendment to claim 1.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lassere, Turner.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Mano Padmanabhan 6/1/01
 MANO PADMANABHAN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment to claim 1 was discussed during the telephonic interview. Although Examiner acknowledged a difference in the cited prior art and Applicant's amendment to claim 1 with respect to the original rejection, a more extensive review of the proposed change and prior art is required prior to withdrawing the rejection. Applicant agreed to file the proposed amendment along with an RCE for Examiner's further consideration. The Examiner further acknowledged that the drawings as filed are deemed acceptable.